Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research University of Diyala College of Medicine



# Gallbladder Diseases in Diabetic Patients

Submitted to the Council of the College of Medicine, Diyala University, In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for the Bachelor Degree in Medicine and General Surgery.

prepared by:

Eman Qais Hammed

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. Ali Mousa J'afar

2022 - 2023 م/ 1444 هـ

6 stage

بسم اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيم

{ هُوَ الَّذِي جَعَلَ الشَّمْسَ ضِياً و الْقَمَ نُورًا و قَلَمَ مَنَا زِلِ لَنْعَلَمُوا عَلَاكَ السِّنِينَ وَالْحِسَابَ مَا خَلَقَ اللَّهُ ذَلِكَ إِلَّا بِالْحَقِّ يُفَصِّلُ الْآيَاتِ لِقُومٍ يَعْلَمُونَ }

سوىرة يونس-اكايتر 5.

## Abstract

**Background:** Diabetes has been hypothesized to increase the risk of gallbladder disease based on the observation that obesity and insulin resistance are associated with gallbladder disease. The principal gallbladder pathologic feature in diabetic patients is a functional deficit of uncertain etiologic factors, creating a large, flaccid, poorly emptying organ. Bile acid and lipid composition are usually increased in diabetic patients. Cholecystitis seems to be a more serious disease in diabetic patients, with worse infectious sequelae and more rapid disease progression.

#### Purpose

- 1. To find out the prevalence of gallbladder diseases among T1DM and T2DM patients,
- 2. To determine of type of gallbladder diseases in diabetic patient and it's relation to ,age body weight, gender, residency ,control diabetes, duration of diabetes ,type of medication type of DM

**Patients and Methods :** The present retrospective cross sectional study based on the available biochemical data of type 1 and 2 diabetic patients This study employed in Diyala province collecting inpatients from Baquaba teaching hospital, outpatients from clinics , college and the nearby places.

Data on socio-demographic characteristics, and clinical factors were collected using a structured questionnaire through face to face interviews. This cross-sectional questionnaire was applied to 125 case,

100with Type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus and the other 25 are without, gathering data during the period between 15 of February 2023 and 10 of April 2023. Inclusion Criteria allowed for Type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus patients exclusion criteria: pediatric age.

**Conclusions:** Relation between gallbladder diseases and age, residency, socioechnomic state, gender, BMI, blood test(rbs.hba1c), duration of DM, type of DM, type of medication and blood cholesterol level

# Acknowledgment

By the name of Allah, I start my project and I am thankful to Allah for helping us to complete this project and giving us the power and determination to do it faithfully and honestly.

I am deeply indebted to my supervisor **Prof. Dr. Ali Mousa J'afar**, for great help and appreciable advice and for close and scientific supervision to our project.

In addition, thanks to all my doctors in the Diyala University College of medicine for the knowledge they provided to me throughout the duration of my studies.

I should express our gratitude and appreciation to my family and friends for their support to me. I want to thank all the people who accept to provide me with information about my subject.

Finally, thanks to everyone who helped this study to be completed

# **Dedications**

#### تحية طيبة

قال الله تعالى (يَرْفَعِ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنكُمْ وَ الَّذِينَ أُوتُوا الْعِلْمَ دَرَجَاتٍ } الشكر الاول والاخير الى الله عز وجل الذي وفقني بكل مراحلي الدراسيه واخرها لاكمال هذا البحث. الى اعز الاشخاص على قلبي ،الى سندي من بعد الله عز وجل، امي الغاليه التي لو لاها لما وصلت الى هذه المرحله المتقدمه من حياتي. الى من شجعني على المثابره طول عمري،الى الرجل الابرز في حياتي ،الى من افخر بأني ابنته ابي العزيز والغالي الى سندي ومصدر بهجتي في هذه الحياة ،اخواني سيف واحمد واختي سحر الاعزاء

الى رفيقة المشوار الطبي ،الى التي قاسمتني فرحي وحزني زهراء سلمان الى جميع الاساتذه والصديقات والزميلات والزملاء ،الى كل من شجعني ولو بكلمه كما وأود أن أتقدم بالشكر إلى أستاذي ومشرفي و موجهي الفاضل /الاستاذ الدكتور علي موسى

الطالبة /ايمان قيس حميد

# Contents

Subject	Page
Abstract	Ι
Acknowledgement	II
Dedications	III
Contents	IV
Introduction	1
Subjects and methods	4
Results	5
Discussion	26
Conclusions	29
Recommendation	30
References	31

# Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a syndrome with disordered metabolism and inappropriate hyperglycemia due to either a deficiency of insulin secretion or to a combination of insulin resistance and inadequate insulin secretion to compensate for the resistance [1].

It has many causes , most commonly type 1 or type 2 diabetes. Type 1 diabetes is generally considered to result from autoimmune destruction of insulinproducing cells ( $\beta$  cells) in the pancreas, leading to marked insulin deficiency, whereas type 2 diabetes is characterised by reduced sensitivity to the action of insulin and an inability to produce sufficient insulin to overcome this 'insulin resistance [2].

Hyperglycaemia causes both acute and long-term problems. Acutely, high glucose and lack of insulin can result in marked symptoms, metabolic decompensation and hospitalisation. Chronic hyperglycaemia is responsible for diabetes-specific 'microvascular ' complications affecting the eyes (retinopathy), kidneys (nephropathy (and feet (neuropathy) [3].

The worldwide prevalece of DM has risen dramatically over the past two decades, from an estimated 30 million cases in 1985 to 382 million in 2013, Based on current trends, the International, Diabetes Federation projects that 592 million individuals will have diabetes by the year 2035 Although the prevalence of both type 1 and type 2 DM is increasing worldwide, the prevalence DM is rising much more rapidly, presumably because of of type 2 increasing obesity, reduced activity levels as countries become more industrialized, and the aging of the population. In 2013, the prevalence of diabetes in individuals from age 20-79 ranged from 23 to 37% in the 10 countries

with the highest prevalence (Tuvalu, Federated States of Micronesia, Marshall Islands, Kiribati, Vanuatu, Cook Islands, Saudi Arabia, Nauru, Kuwait, and Qatar, in descending order of prevalence) [4].

The gallbladder is a hollow, pear-shaped organ located inferior to the right lobe of the liver. It connects to the liver and pancreas through the biliary duct system. The gallbladder plays an essential role in the digestive process by storing and releasing bile produced by the liver. From the liver, bile flows through the hepatic and cystic ducts into the gallbladder for storage. Consumption of food releases cholecystokinin (CCK), a peptide hormone, from duodenal and jejunal cells, which stimulate the gallbladder to contract. Bile drains through the biliary duct system into the duodenum for digestion and absorption of fat [5].

The gallbladder plays a fundamental role in the digestive process of fats by coordinating the storage and release of bile. The wide breadth of gallbladder disease is a reflection of the complex interaction of anatomic, genetic, and environmental factors, the primary care physician may need to work with medical and surgical subspecialty colleagues for treatment of various gallbladder diseases [6].

Gallbladder disease, including gallstones and cholecystitis, is a major cause of morbidity in the US and in the Europe, The prevalence of asymptomatic gallstones is 10–15% in European populations, while symptomatic gallstones are less frequent and affects 2% of the population, Of digestive diseases that require hospitalization gallstones are the most frequent and costly; the economic costs of hospital treatment of gallstones are over 6.5 billion US dollar per year, in the United Kingdom 49,000 cholecystectomies are conducted every year, while in the US the number is N700,000 [7]. There is strong evidence that greater body fatness and low physical activity are associated with increased risk of gallstones. In addition, there is increasing evidence to suggest that components of the metabolic syndrome including insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia, and elevated triglycerides may be associated with increased risk [8].

Epidemiological studies on the risk of gallbladder disease among diabetes patients have been inconsistent, Some studies have found a positive association between diabetes and risk of gallbladder disease or gallstones [9].

However, other studies found no association, In addition the size of the risk estimates has varied considerably, and this could potentially be due to confounding by other risk factors such as obesity and physical activity or other risk factors. As the prevalence of diabetes is projected to increase from 366 million people in 2011 to 552million by 2030 it will be important to clarify whether there is an association between a diabetes diagnosis and gallbladder disease risk independent of body fatness and other confounding factors [10].

# patients and methods

The present retrospective cross sectional study based on the available biochemical data of type 1 and 2 diabetic patients.

This study employed in Diyala province collecting inpatients from Baquaba teaching hospital, outpatients from clinics , college and the

nearby places .

Data on socio-demographic characteristics, and clinical factors were collected using a structured questionnaire through face to face interviews.

This cross-sectional questionnaire was applied to 125 case 100, with Type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus and the other 25 are without, gathering data during the period between 15 of february 2023 and 10 of April 2023. Inclusion Criteria allowed for Type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus patients exclusion criteria: pediatric age

4

# Results

In this study, the total sample of study was (125), (25) from them was controlled, also about (79) with cholecystitis and (117) with gallstones.

Table 1(a.b.c.d) demographic characteristics of the studied groups

A an Cota aning	Groups		Chi	
Age Categories	Patient	Control	P value	
Less than 40 years	4	4		
41-50 years	18	5	6.541	
51-60 years	30	6	0.16 (Non-	
61-70 years	28	8	significant)	
70 years and above	20	2		

Table 1 at	Domoorphi	a abarration	of the studied	
таріе г.я:	Demographi	· cnaracteristics	or the studied	<b>Promos</b> (Age)
I HOIV IIIII	2 cm ogr apm		or the staated	STOUPS (TASE)



Candan	Groups		Chi
Gender	Patient	Control	P value
Male	47	10	0.395
Female	53	15	(Non- significant)

## Table 1.b: Demographic characteristics of the studied groups (Gender)

\*Significant difference between groups ( p value < 0.05)



Gender

Bosidonov Groups		Chi		
Residency	Patient	Control	P value	
Rural	27	6	0.093	
Urban	73	19	0.76 (Non-significant)	

#### Table1.c: Demographic characteristics of the studied groups (Residency)

\*Significant difference between groups ( p value < 0.05)



Residency

Table 1.d: Demographic characteristics of the studied groups (Socio	economic
State)	

Socioconomia Stata	Groups		Chi
Socioeconomic State	Patient	Control	P value
Low	55	15	0.20
Iliah	15	10	0.65 (Non-
High	45	10	significant)



Socioeconomic State	Age of onset in (years) Mean □ S.E.	LSD <sub>0.05</sub> ( p value) Sig or Non-Sig
< 5 years	48.68 □ 2.03 <b>a</b>	2.126
5 – 10 years	42.00 □ 1.88 <b>b</b>	3.136 (0.49*)
> 10 years	49.87 □ 3.58 <b>a</b>	(Sig.)

Table 2: Duration of diabetes mellitus and age of onset of diabetes mellitus

.groups with different letters are significantly different.



**Duration of diabetes** 

	Type of medications		Chi
Type of DM	Oral	Injection	( p value) Sig or Non-Sig
Туре 1	0	24	12.89 (0.001*)
Type 2	56	20	(significant)

#### Table 3. Type of diabetes mellitus and type of medication



Type of DM

Presence of cholecystitis	Presence of gallstones		Chi
	Yes	No	( p value) Sig or Non-Sig
Yes	71	8	4.977 (0.026*)
No	46	0	(significant)

Table 4. Presence of	cholecystitis and	presence of gallstones
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



Presence of cholecystitis

BMI	Presence of cholecystitis		LSD0.05 ( p value)
	Yes	No	Sig or Non- Sig
Under	21	5	9.329
Normal	33	32	(0.009*)
Over	25	9	(Sig.)

Table 5.a: Relation between cholecystitis and BMI



Table 5.b: Relation between gal	lstones and BMI
---------------------------------	-----------------

	Presence of gallstones	LSD0.05 ( p value)	
BMI	Yes	No	Sig or Non- Sig
Under	26	0	4.775
Normal	61	4	(0.018*)
Over	30	4	(Sig.)



Presence of cholecystitis	LSD0.05 (p value)
Yes No	Sig or Non- Sig
51 19	6.379
28 27	(0.012*)
51     19       28     27	S: 6. (( (\$

Table	6 a.	Relation	hetween	cholecy	vstitis	and	socioeco	nomic	state
I auto	0.a.	Relation		CHOICE	youus	anu	30010000	nonne	state



Socioeconomic State

a	Presence of gallstones	LSD0.05 ( p value)	
Socioeconomic State	Yes	No	Sig or Non- Sig
Low	70	0	10.878
High	47	8	(0.001*) (Sig.)
			(S1g.)

## Table 6.b: Relation between gallstones and socioeconomic state

\*Significant difference between groups ( p value < 0.05)



Socioeconomic State

HbA1c %	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones	
HUAIC %	Yes	No	Yes	No
< 7	24	11	35	0
7 - 10	31	27	50	8
> 10	24	8	32	0
Chi squared	4.772		9.832	
(p value)	0.049*		0.007	
Sig. or non-sig	(sig)		(sig)	

Table 7: Relation between gallbladder diseases and HBA1c



<b>Hb</b> A 1 c %	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones	
HUAIC %	Yes	No	Yes	No
Frequency	79	46	117	8
Mean 🗆 S.E.	8.730	8.165	8.492	8.95
T test	3.071		0.438	
(p value)	0.0286*		0.662	
Sig. or non-sig	(sig)		(non sig)	

Table 7: Relation between gallbladder diseases and HBA1c

Gender	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones	
Gender	Yes	No	Yes	No
Male	36	21	51	6
Female.	43	25	66	2
Chi squared	0.343		2.978	
(p value)	0.993		0.081	
Sig. or non-sig	(non-sig)		(non-sig)	

Table 8: Relation between gallbladder diseases and gender



Pasidanay	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones	
Residency	Yes	No	Yes	No
Rural	33	0	33	0
Urban	46	46	84	8
Chi squared	26.108		3.660	
(p value)	0.0001*		0.008*	
Sig. or non-sig	(sig)		(sig)	

Table 9: Relation between gallbladder diseases and residency



RBS	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones	
KDS	Yes	No	Yes	No
Less than 140	14	11	25	0
140 - 200	14	20	32	2
More than 200	51	15	60	6
Chi squared	13.26		2.522	
(p value)	0.001*		0.283	
Sig. or non-sig	(sig)		(non sig)	

Table 10: Relation between gallbladder diseases and Random blood sugar



DBS	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones	
KDS	Yes	No	Yes	No
Frequency	79	46	117	8
Mean □ S.E.	279.7	79.61	249.66	256.25
T test	3.905		0.153	
(p value)	0.0001*		0.879	
Sig. or non-sig	(sig)		(non sig)	

Duration of	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones	
DM	Yes	No	Yes	No
< 5 years	19	9	28	0
5-10 years	20	22	36	6
>10 years	24	6	28	2
Chi squared	8.265		4.762	
(p value)	0.016*		0.029	
Sig. or non-sig	(sig)		(sig)	

Table 11. Relation between gallbladder diseases and duration of diabetes mellitus.



Types of DM	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Type 1	18	6	24	0	
Type 2	45	31	68	8	
Chi squared	3.951		4.746		
(p value)	0.0162*		0.019*		
Sig. or non-sig	(sig)		(sig)		

Table 12. Relation between gallbladder diseases and type of diabetes mellitus



	0				
abolastaral	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones		
cholesteror	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Frequency	79	46	117	8	
Mean 🗆 S.E.	238.84	193.10	221.65	227.25	
T test	3.347		0.199		
(p value)	0.001*		0.843		
Sig. or non-sig	(sig)		(non sig)		
*0' '0' 1'00	1 /	/ 1	0.05		

Table 13. Relation between gallbladder diseases and cholesterol level



Types of gallbladder	Presence of cholecystitis		Presence of gallstones		
diseases	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Frequency	79	46	117	8	
Chi sauared	3.467		5.949		
(p value)	0.013*		0.0023*		
Sig. or non-sig	(sig)		(sig)		

Table 14: Types of gallbladder diseases



# Discussions

In this study, the sample size was (125), about (25) from them was control.

In the present study, there was no significance correlated between age group when divided for five group in patients and control cases that the p-value was 6.541, also the results of this study founded that there was no significance related of patients cases and controlled samples between male and female that the p-value was 0.395 for male, 0.53 in female and that more than 0.05.

In another study was conducted in Norway [11], the only significance age related to DM with gallbladder disease was age group older than 75 years old that the p value was < 0.05, while according to gender also founded that there was no correlated significance between male and female, that the p value was > 0.05.

In this study, there is no significance between residence and Socioeconomic State with patients and control cases, that founded the p value for urban 0.76 and rural 0.093 for patients and control cases, and for high Socioeconomic state 0.65, and low Socioeconomic state 0.20 in patients and control cases.

Also that's same results founded in other study was conducted in Czech [12], there is no significance between residence and Socioeconomic State with patients and control cases, that founded the p value was >0.05.

In this study, there is significance correlated between cholecystitis with BMI that the p value was 0.009 for this relations, and gallstones with BMI p value was 0.018.

Also that same results founded in study of Norway [11], that there is significance correlated between cholecystitis with BMI, and gallstones with BMI the p value was < 0.05.

According to this study founded that there is a relation significance between cholecystitis and socioeconomic state and gallstones and socioeconomic state that the p value was 0.012, 0.001 respectively.

While in study was conducted in Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee [13], shows that there is no significance between cholecystitis and socioeconomic state and gallstones and socioeconomic state, the difference in results when compare with this study may be due to low sample size of this study.

According to this study shows that there was a significance relation between HbA1c level and presence of cholecystitis that p value was 0.049, also significance with frequency of of HbA1c p value 0.0286, also there is relation significance between HbA1c level and gallstones the p value was 0.007, while there was no significance with frequency of HbA1c p value was 0.662.

In other studies of Norway [11] and Czech [12], founded there was a significance relation between HbA1c level and presence of cholecystitis and gallstones and significance relation between HbA1c frequency and presence of cholecystitis and gallstones.

In this study founded that there was no significance relation between gallbladder diseases both gallstones and cholecystitis with gender, also that also in study of Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee [13].

In the present study the relation between gallstones and Random blood sugar level and frequency was non-significance and Presence of cholecystitis with Random blood sugar level and frequency was significance p value was 0.001.

While in study of Norway [11], founded that was significance relation between gallstones and Random blood sugar level and frequency and Presence of cholecystitis with Random blood sugar level and frequency was significance p value was <0.005, and that disagree with our results may be due to small size of samples with gallstones.

In the present study the relation between gallstones and duration of diabetes mellitus was non-significance and Presence of cholecystitis with duration of diabetes mellitus was significance p value was 0.016.

While in study of Czech [12], there was significance relation between gallstones and duration of diabetes mellitus and Presence of cholecystitis with duration of diabetes mellitus p value was less than 0.05.

In this study there is significance correlations between gallbladder diseases and type of diabetes mellitus (type 1 and type 2) for presence of cholecystitis p value was 0.0162 and for gallstones p value 0.019.

Same results founded in other study conducted in Saudi Arabia [14], that there is significance correlations between gallbladder diseases and type of diabetes mellitus (type 1 and type 2) for presence of cholecystitis.

For the relations between cholesterol and gallstones this study founded that there is no significance p value 0.843, while there was clear significance between cholesterol and Presence of cholecystitis p value was 0.001.

While in study of Saudi Arabia [14], the significance between cholesterol with both cholecystitis and gallstones that was p value 0.001.

The difference may be due to small sample size of this study.

# Conclusion

We conclude that there is:

- 1. Relation between gallbladder diseases and age
- 2. Relation between gallbladder diseases and residency
- 3. Rrelation between gallbladder diseases and socioechnomic state
- 4. Relation between gallbladder diseases and gender
- 5. Relation between gallbladder diseases and bmi
- 6. Relation between gallbladder diseases and blood test(rbs.hba1c)
- 7. Relation between gallbladder diseases and duration of dm
- 8. Relation between gallbladder diseases and type of dm
- 9. Relation between gallbladder diseases and type of medication
- 10. Relation between gallbladder diseases and blood cholesterol level

## Recommendations

In our study we faced difficulties in performing the investigation of lipid profile ,ultrasuond examination from inpatient in hospital as they are not routine investigations for DM patient , so we recommended that they should be routinely investigated in order to decrease its associated complications and comborbidities and to facilitate the collection of data in the future .

The findings of this study should be taken into account to conduct appropriate intervention measures on identified risk factor reduction and implement routine screening, treatments, and prevention of Gallbladder diseases associated with DM patients.

## References

- 1. Jorgensen T. Epidemiology and gallstones Ugeskr Laeger. 2018;167(24):2610–3.
- Abdulwahab KMS, Karim A. changing pattern of surgical diseases in Benghazi. Garyounis Med J. 2018;18:29–31.
- Elrefai N, Abuzeid I, Elraeid R, Gargoom A. Prevalence of gall bladder stones post-cholecystectomy in diabetic patients. Jamahiriya Med J. 2017;7(1):42–4
- Hahm JS, Park JY, Park KG, et al. Gallbladder motility in diabetes mellitus using real time ultrasonography. Am J Gastroenterol. 2019;91:2391.
- 5. Ruhl CE, Everhart JE. Association of diabetes, serum insulin, and C-peptide with gallbladder disease. Hepatology. 2018;31:299.
- Kratzer, W., Mason, R. A., & Kachele, V. (2019). Prevalence of gallstones in sonographic surveys worldwide. Journal of Clinical Ultrasound, 27(1), 1–7.
- Gonzalez-Perez, A., & Garcia Rodriguez, L. A. (2017). Gallbladder disease in the general population: Association with cardiovascular morbidity and therapy. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety, 16(5), 524–531.
- Friedman, G. D., Raviola, C. A., & Fireman, B. (2018). Prognosis of gallstones with mild or no symptoms: 25 years of follow-up in a health maintenance organization. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 42(2), 127–136.
- Gu, J., Yan, S., Wang, B., Shen, F., Cao, H., Fan, J., & Wang, Y. (2017). Type 2 diabetes mellitus and risk of gallbladder cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews, 25.

- 10.Halldestam, I., Kullman, E., & Borch, K. (2019). Incidence of and potential risk factors for gallstone disease in a general population sample. The British Journal of Surgery, (11), 1315–1322.
- 11.Aune, D., & Vatten, L. J. (2019). Diabetes mellitus and the risk of gallbladder disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications, 30(2), 368–373.
- 12.Chen C-H, Lin C-L, Hsu C-Y and Kao C-H (2018) Association Between Type I and II Diabetes With Gallbladder Stone Disease. Front. Endocrinol. 9:720.
- 13.Ikard, R W. "Gallstones, cholecystitis and diabetes." Surgery, gynecology & obstetrics vol. 171,6 (2020): 528-32.
- 14. Ahmed Mostafa Abukonna, Assessment of Gallbladder Diseases in Diabetic Patients Using Ultrasonography, Saudi, Jaddah, 2021, 445-999.