Republic of Iraq

Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research

University of Diyala

Collage of Medicine

Knowledge and attitudes of health care workers and medical students about monkey pox virus infection.

Submitted to the Council of the College of Medicine, University of Diyala
Department of Medicine, as a Partial Fulfillment in the Requirements for the Degree of MBChB

This graduation Research done by:

Ban Sabah Abbas (MBChB)

Under supervision of;

Shaimaa R. Al-Salihy (MSc, PhD)

2025

Dedication

I dedicate my graduation thesis to those who worked for six years to get me to this stage, to those who endured the hardships of the road with me, to my father who was always by my side and supported me in his own special way... to my mother who never let a day pass without mentioning me in her prayers... to everyone who taught me and contributed to my reaching this stage… to my research supervisor who was the basis of this research work.

Ban

Knowledge and attitudes of health care workers and medicalstudents about monkeypox virus infection.

Ban Sabah (MBChB)[1], Shaimaa R. Al-Salihy (Msc, PhD)[2]
1,2 College of Medicine - University of Diyala - Diyala - Iraq.

Abstract:

Background: Monkeypox (Mpox) is a re-emerging infectious disease representing a new global challenge. It poses a substantial threat to countries, particularly those with a low number of cases. The implementation of successful preventative and response plans has been hampered by the healthcare staff's ignorance and lack of preparedness about Mpox.

Objectives: The study aimed to assess the knowledge, attitude, and perception of Iraqi HCWs and medical students towards human Mpox

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted during the period extended between 23rd October to 23rd November 2024. Using standardized structured self-administered questionnaire, data were collected from Iraqi HCWs and medical students.

Results: The present study involved a total of 334 HCWs and medical students. It was found that 23.7% of the participants demonstrated an adequate knowledge about Mpox, whereas 67% of them have marginally satisfactory level of knowledge. The high level knowledge observed among Regarding attitudes, 50% of HCWs and medical students exhibited positive attitudes, observed in ages older than 40 years, females, bachelor holders, rural residence and dentists. Regarding to the relationship between knowledge, attitudes and practices, our findings showed that the positive attitude towards Mpox accompanied a high level of knowledge, and associated to willingness to be vaccinated and take preventive measures.

C**onclusion:** this study offers insightful information about the system's advantages and disadvantages that should be carefully considered. The knowledge, attitude, and perception Mpox of Iraqi HCWs and medical students is suboptimal,

underscoring the significance of appropriate information campaigns and educational initiatives.

Keywords: monkey pox virus; Knowledge; Attitude; Practice; healthcare workers.

1. Introduction:

Monkeypox (Mpox) is a zoonotic disease caused by *Monkeypox virus* (MPXV). It is a double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) virus belonging to *Orthopoxvirus* (OPXV) genus within the *Poxviridae* family and *Chordopoxvirinae* as the subfamily (Alakunle *et al*., 2020). MPXV is related to Variola virus, the causative agent of smallpox (Xiang & White, 2022). Two MPXV clades are identified through genomic sequencing: clade I (West African) and clade II (Congo Basin) clades, with Clade II subclassified as Clade IIa and IIb (Happi *et al*., 2022). According to virulence, the clade I is thought to be less virulent and less transmissible than clade II (Sale *et al*., 2006). Mpox is mainly transmitted by contact with respiratory secretions, infected skin lesions, or contaminated materials (Vaughan *et al*., 2020) and sexual contact, particularly among homosexual communities (Amer *et al*., 2022). The incubation period may be between 5 and 21 days (Petersen and Kantele, 2019). Symptoms typically include fever, headache, swollen lymph nodes, chills, fatigue, followed by a rash that spreads from the face to the body (Thornhill *et al*., 2022). Lymphadenopathy distinguishes mpox from similar diseases like measles, chickenpox, and smallpox (Mansoor and Abbas,2022). Diagnosing Mpox is challenging due to overlapping symptoms with other orthopoxviruses (Chauhan *et al*., 2023), limited availability of lab tests, and varying accuracy of current diagnostic tests (Karagoz *et al*., 2023).

Monkeypox virus (MPXV) was first reported in 1959 as an outbreak of a pox-like disease in monkeys kept at a research institute in Copenhagen, Denmark. Several years after, in 1970, there was the advent of the first human case of MPXV in medical history in the Democratic Republic of Congo (Parker and Buller, 2013). Since then, there have been multiple outbreaks and sporadic cases, mostly occurring in Central and West Africa (Jokar *et al*., 2023), while reported cases beyond these regions were detected due to international travel to the endemic regions (Abed Alah *et al*., 2022). Since May 2022, a global outbreak has escalated the situation, leading the World

Health Organization (WHO) to declare Mpox an international public health emergency, urging countries to implement control measures (WHO, 2022). The WHO reported that, as of May 3, 2023, there have been more than 87,000 laboratory-confirmed cases and 130 deaths identified from 111 countries around the world (WHO, 2023).

Several risk factors are linked to an increase in Mpox outbreaks, including the interruption of smallpox vaccination, which leads to increased susceptibility to Mpox infection; the extensive consumption of animals as a protein source, which are potential Mpox virus reservoirs; increased population density; ease of travel; and ecological and environmental factors, such as clearing of tropical rainforests with an increased risk of exposure to reservoir animals (Rimoin, 2010; Beer and Rao, 2019).

The recent increase in the incidence of human Mpox requires prevention, early detection, prompt response, and management by HCWs who are knowledgeable about Mpox. Understanding HCWs' awareness and knowledge of non-epidemic infections and treatment practices could help identify existing gaps and enhance occupational safety and viral surveillance. Adoption of preventive measures, especially in the context of emerging infectious diseases, is largely determined by knowledge about diseases and attitudes toward practice (Wahed *et al*., 2013; Akinyinka *et al*., 2019). The future HCWs play a crucial role in shaping disease prevention strategies through education and influence. Their knowledge and communication about infectious disease prevention are essential in motivating populations and developing targeted prevention strategies (Sah *et al*., 2022).

Therefore, this study was designed to assess the knowledge and attitude of HCWs and medical students towards human Mpox.

2. Methods:

2.1. Study design, setting, and data collection:

A cross-sectional study was conducted during the period extended between 23rd October to 23rd November 2024. The target population consisted of Iraqi medical college students and registered health care workers practicing in various Iraqi provinces.

Data were obtained by a standardized structured self-administered questionnaire adapted from previously published studies (AlBalas et al., 2024; Amer et al., 2024) and slightly modified by the supervisor and researcher, based on the information about Monkeypox virus acquired from WHO, to suit this study. The questionnaire was designed using Google forms and distributed electronically to voluntary participants using social media applications including Facebook, Telegram, and WhatsApp.

2.2. Measures:

The survey consists of 37 questions divided into four sections:

1. **Sociodemographic:** this first section contains 5 questions about the participant include age, gender, residence (rural or urban), education level and occupation.
2. **Knowledge**: the second section contain 11 questions about the pathogen, mode of transmission, groups vulnerable to infection, signs and symptoms, and preventive measures. Each question had a correct and incorrect response.
3. **Attitude**: the third section evaluated attitude including 12 items that was assessed on a three-point Likert scale (yes, no and unknown).
4. **Practice**: the fourth section covered 9 items toward Mpox vaccine. Each question received a response of Yes, No or maybe.

2.3. Scoring criteria:

In this study, two scores were calculated, one for knowledge and one for attitude. For the knowledge score, each correct answer scored 1 point, and each wrong answer scored 0 point. The maximum knowledge score was 15 and the minimum was 0. After calculating the knowledge scores, participants were categorized into three groups: poor knowledge (≤ 9), moderate knowledge (10-12), and excellent knowledge (≥ 13). For attitude score each yes =3, uncertain= 2 and no=1. The maximum attitude score was 36 and the minimum was 12. A score of 27 was taken as a cutoff point, participants that scored 27 or less were considered to have a negative attitude, whereas participants that scored more than 27 were considered to have a positive attitude towards the vaccine.

2.4. Statistical analysis:

Utilizing the statistical software IBM SPSS V 29 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), the data was described and analyzed. Categorical variables were analyzed using frequency, percentage, Cross tabs and Pearson Chi-square test. While continuous variables like scores of both (knowledge and attitude) was performed using Anova test. $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate statistical significance.

3. Results:

3.1. Distribution of sociodemographic characteristics of participants:

A total of 334 individuals participated in this study. Of them, 262 (78.4%), fall with the age range of 18–30 years, with a male predominance 174 (52.1%). Most of them 229 (68.6%) holding a bachelor's degree. Moreover 179 (53.6%) of the survey respondents were from urban areas. Participants' occupations included medical students (64, 19.2%), physicians (26, 7.8%), dentists (13, 3.9%), pharmacists (19, 5.7%), nurses (127, 38.0%), laboratory analysts (46, 13.8%), and others (39, 11.7%).

Table (1): Frequency distribution of socio-demographic profile of the respondents.

Variable	Item	Frequency	Percentage
Age group	18-30	262	78.4%
	31-40	59	17.7%
	41-50	13	3.9%
Sex	Male	174	52.1%
	Female	160	47.9%
Education level	Diploma	51	15.3%
	Bachelor	229	68.6%
	Postgraduate	54	16.2%
Residence	Rural	155	46.4%
	Urban	179	53.6%
Occupation	Medical student	64	19.2%
	Physician	26	7.8%
	Dentist	13	3.9%
	Pharmacist	19	5.7%
	Nurse	127	38.0%
	Analysist	46	13.8%
	Other	39	11.7%

3.2. Analysis of the knowledge of study participants about Mpox:

As shown in table (2), a significant majority, 305 (91.3%), of participants recognized Mpox as a zoonotic disease that can be transmitted from human to human.

Regarding the causative agent, most of the participants 252 (75.4%) believed that the Mpox infection is caused by the virus which was the correct answer. When asked if Mpox is a new variant, 199 (59.6%) of participants mistakenly thought it was same variant, while 135 (40.4%) correctly answered. Most participants, 306 (91.6%),

agreed that Mpox is infectious. Regarding the prevalence of Mpox in the Middle East, only 92 (27.5%) of the respondents disagreed with its prevalence in the Middle East. Additionally, 149 (44.6%) of them knew that there's no recorded cases of Mpox in Iraq.

Approximately half of the participants 160 (47.9%) thought that the able to survive for several days on contaminated surface which is the correct answer. Regarding vaccines, only 102 (30.5%) knew the smallpox vaccine could protect against the disease while 133 (39.8%) of them pointed out that there is a specific vaccine for Mpox. About 232 (69.5%) of respondents incorrectly answered that smallpox vaccine cannot provide protection protect against Mpox, while 201 (60.2%) of them incorrectly pointed out that there is a specific vaccine for Mpox. Furthermore, 129 (38.6%) of the respondents disagreed the presence of specific treatment for Mpox.

Regarding Mpox transmission routes, there were some mixed responses. Bite or scratch of infected animals was the most identified route of transmission followed by close contact with infected person, 237 (71%) and 207 (62%) of participants, respectively. Respiratory routes were identified by 123 (36.8%0, while sexual route was identified by 98 (29.3). Around 119 (35.6%) of participants mistakenly thought that Mpox can be transmitted by contaminated food and water.

Table (2): Participants' knowledge of Mpox

Knowledge scale	Answers	
	Correct No. (%)	Incorrect No. (%)
Is Mpox a zoonotic disease but can transferred from human to human?	305 (91.3%)	29 (8.7%)
The causative agent of Mpox infection is virus?	252 (75.4%)	82 (24.6%)
Is Mpox a new variant?	135 (40.4%)	199 (59.6%)
Is Mpox infectious?	306 (91.6%)	28 (8.4 %)
Is Mpox is prevalent in Middle east?	92 (27.5%)	242 (72.5%)
Are there cases of Mpox in Iraq?	149 (44.6%)	185 (55.4%)
Is Mpox able to survive for several days on contaminated surface?	160 (47.9%)	174 (52.1%)
Can smallpox vaccine protect against Mpox?	102 (30.5%)	232 (69.5%)
Is there a specific vaccine for Mpox?	133 (39.8%)	201 (60.2%)
Is there specific treatment for Mpox?	129 (38.6%)	205 (61.4%)
Is Mpox can be transmitted by bite or scratch of infected animals?	237 (71%)	97 (29%)
Is Mpox can be transmitted sexually?	98 (29.3%)	236 (70.7%)
Is Mpox can be transmitted via respiratory route?	123 (36.8%)	211 (63.2%)
Is Mpox can be transmitted by close contact with infected person?	207 (62%)	127 (38%)
Is Mpox can be transmitted with contaminated food and water?	215 (64.4%)	119 (35.6%)

The participants' knowledge levels were assessed as (poor, moderate, excellent) in relation to their demographic characteristics, table (3). Moderate knowledge regarding Mpox was observed in 224 (67%) of respondents, while only 79 (23.7%) of them demonstrated excellent level of knowledge. Regarding age, all participants aged between 41-50 years showed acceptable cognitive level towards Mpox, as (76.9%) of them presented a moderate level of knowledge and (23.1%) with excellent level, while none of them showed a poor level, followed by those aged between 18-30 years as (66.8%) and (24%) of them showed moderate and excellent levels of knowledge, respectively. However, there was no significant difference between age groups (P=0.8).

Furthermore, (70.7%) of males demonstrating moderate level of knowledge about Mpox compared to female (63.1%) of female, while females constituted the higher percentage within those with excellent level (26.3%) compared to male participants (21.3%), however the difference was insignificant (0.16).

Educationally, those with postgraduate degree were collectively more aware about Mpox as (75.9%) of those showed moderate level and (16.4%) showed excellent level of knowledge about M pox, followed by bachelor degree holders (65.5%) with moderate level and (25.8%) with excellent level, even though there was no significant difference (P=0.319). Regarding residence, rural dwellers showed insignificant higher awareness (69.7%) and (21.9%) compared to urban dwellers (64.8%) and (25.1%) with (P=0.350).

Finally, there was significant increase in knowledge level observed among dentist (61.5%) and (38.5%), while the lowest knowledge level observed among those with other health professions (69.2%) and (2.8%) compared to other occupation categories, (P=0.017).

Table (3): distribution of participants' knowledge levels (poor, moderate, excellent) in relation to their demographic characteristics

Demographic characteristics		Poor knowledge		Moderate knowledge		Excellent knowledge		Total	P value
		N	%	N	%	N	%		
Age group	18-30	24	9.2%	175	66.8%	63	24%	262 (100%)	0.8
	31-40	7	11.9%	39	66.1%	13	22%	59 (100%)	
	41-50	0	0.0%	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	13 (100%)	
Total		31 (9.3%)		224 (67 %)		79 (23.7%)		334 (100%)	
Sex	Male	14	8%	123	70.7%	37	21.3%	174 (100%)	0.16
	Female	17	10.6%	101	63.1%	42	26.3%	160 (100%)	
Total		31 (9.3%)		224 (67 %)		79 (23.7%)		334 (100%)	
Education	Diploma	7	13.7%	33	64.7%	11	21.6%	51 (100%)	

level	Bachelor	20	8.7%	150	65.5%	59	25.8%	229 (100%)	0.319
	Postgraduate	4	7.4%	41	75.9%	9	16.7%	54 (100%)	
		31 (9.3%)		224 (67 %)		79 (23.7%)		334 (100%)	
Residence	Rural	13	8.4%	108	69.7%	34	21.9%	155 (100%)	
	Urban	18	10.1%	116	64.8%	45	25.1%	179 (100%)	0.350
Total		31 (9.3%)		224 (67 %)		79 (23.7%)		334 (100%)	
Occupation	Medical student	7	10.9%	36	56.3%	21	32.8%	64 (100%)	
	Physician	2	7.7%	18	69.2%	6	23.1%	26 (100%)	
	Dentist	0	0.0%	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	13 (100%)	
	Pharmacist	1	5.3%	14	73.7%	4	21%	19 (100%)	*0.017
	Nurse	13	10.2%	88	69.3%	26	20.5%	127 (100%)	
	Analysist	1	2.2%	33	71.7%	12	26.1%	46 (100%)	
	Other	7	18%	27	69.2%	5	12.8%	39 (100%)	
Total		31 (9.3%)		224 (67 %)		79 (23.7%)		334 (100%)	

3.3. Analysis of the attitude of study participants towards Mpox:

According to results obtained during this study, the majority of participants 243 (72.8%) expressed concern that Mpox might become a global pandemic, while 64 (19.2%) disagreed, and 27 (8.1%) were unsure. Regarding the declaration of Mpox as a public health emergency, approximately a half of participants 186 (55.7%) agreed, while 92 (27.5%) were neutral, and 56 (16.8%) were disagreed. When asked if Mpox can be easily transmitted in Iraq, 251(75.1%) of participants affirmed this, while 53 (15.9%) disagreed, and 30 (9.0%) were unsure. In addition, most participants 297 (88.9%) agreed that Mpox adds an extra burden to the healthcare system, while fewer 22 (6.6%) disagreed or were unsure 15 (4.5%).

Opinions on infection prevention and control (IPC) measures were less optimistic, with only 99 participants (29.6%) feeling sufficient measures are available, while 198 (59.3%) disagreed, and 37 (11.1%) were unsure. A majority (252 participants, 75.4%) stated they would accept an Mpox vaccine, and 253 (75.7%) would encourage others to do the same. However, the rate of those who agreed with making the vaccine mandatory for healthcare workers (HCWs) was 219 (65.6%), while 53 (15.9%) were neutral, and 62 (18.6%) opposed the idea. In terms of vaccine necessity, 221 participants (66.2%) believed the vaccine is essential and that the immune system alone is insufficient, while 61 (18.3%) believed otherwise, and 52 (15.6%) were unsure. Regarding the effectiveness of vaccination as a prevention method, 238 participants (71.3%) agreed, 45 (13.5%) disagreed, and 51 (15.3%) were uncertain. Participants were divided on curfews and quarantines as prevention strategies, with 167 (50.0%) agreeing, 133 (39.8%) disagreeing, and 34 (10.2%) uncertain. Lastly, a strong majority (271 participants, 81.1%) expressed interest in

learning more about Mpox, while fewer were uninterested (44, 13.2%) or unsure (19, 5.7%).

Participants were divided on curfews and quarantines as prevention strategies, with 167 (50.0%) agreeing, 133 (39.8%) disagreeing, and 34 (10.2%) uncertain. Lastly, a strong majority (271 participants, 81.1%) expressed interest in learning more about Mpox, while fewer were uninterested (44, 13.2%) or unsure (19, 5.7%), table (4).

Table (4): Attitude of study participants toward the Mpox

Attitude scale	Answers		
	Agree No (%)	Disagree No (%)	Neutral No (%)
Are you worried about Mpox might become worldwide pandemic?	243 (72.8%)	64 (19.2%)	27 (8.1%)
Are you agree with the declaring Mpox as a public health emergency?	186 (55.7%)	56 (16.8%)	92 (27.5%)
Do you see Mpox can be transmitted easily in Iraq?	251 (75.1%)	53 (15.9%)	30 (9.0%)
Dose Mpox cause extra burden on healthcare system?	297 (88.9%)	22 (6.6%)	15 (4.5%)
Do you feel enough IPC measures for Mpox are available?	99 (29.6%)	198 (59.3%)	37 (11.1%)
Will you accept Mpox vaccine?	252 (75.4%)	46 (13.8%)	36 (10.8%)
Will you encourage others to accept Mpox vaccine?	253 (75.7%)	41 (12.3%)	40 (12.0%)
Do you agree to make the vaccine compulsory for HCW?	219 (65.6%)	62 (18.5%)	53 (15.9%)
Do you believe Mpox vaccine is unnecessary and immune system is enough?	61 (18.3%)	221 (66.2%)	52 (15.6%)
Do you think getting a vaccination is an effective way of preventing the spread of the disease?	238 (71.3%)	45 (13.5%)	51 (15.3%)
Do you think the curfew and quarantine are effective ways of preventing the spread of the disease?	167 (50.0%)	133 (39.8%)	34 (10.2%)
Are you interested in learning more about Mpox?	271 (81.1%)	44 (13.2%)	19 (5.7%)

Table (5) illustrates attitudes of participants (positive or negative) toward Mpox, stratified by demographic characteristics. Half of participants exhibited positive attitude towards Mpox. Those aged between 41-50 years showed the higher rate (53.8%) with positive attitude, followed by (50.8%) of those aged 18-30, compared by only (45.8%) of those aged between 31-40 years. Even though the difference was statistically insignificant (P=0.241). In addition, females insignificantly displayed higher positive attitude (50.6%) compared to males (49.4%), (P= 0.241). When analyzing educational levels, bachelor's degree holders exhibited

the higher positive attitudes (52%) compared with other education categories, however the difference was insignificant (P=0.169).

Regarding residence, unexpectedly rural residents exhibited higher positive attitude (56.1%) compared to urban residents (44.7%). However, the difference failed to reach statistical significance (P=0.058). Occupationally, physicians displayed the lowest positive attitude (34.6%), compared to other health professions (59%), followed by dentists (53.8%). Also, the difference was statistically insignificant (P=0.185)

Table (5): Participants' characteristics compared with Attitude categories

Demographic characteristics		Positive attitude		Negative attitude		Total	P value
		N	%	N	%		
Age group	18-30	133	50.8%	129	49.2%	262 (100%)	0.241
	31-40	27	45.8%	32	54.2%	59 (100%)	
	41-50	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13 (100%)	
Total		167 (50%)		167 (50%)		334 (100%)	
Sex	Male	86	49.4%	88	50.6%	174 (100%)	0.289
	Female	81	50.6%	79	49.4%	160 (100%)	
Total		167 (50%)		167 (50%)		334 (100%)	
Education level	Diploma	25	49%	26	51%	51 (100%)	0.169
	Bachelor	119	52%	110	48%	229 (100%)	
	Postgraduate	23	42.6%	31	57.4%	54 (100%)	
Total		167 (50%)		167 (50%)		334 (100%)	
Residence	Rural	87	56.1%	68	43.9%	155 (100%)	0.058
	Urban	80	44.7%	99	55.3%	179 (100%)	
Total		167 (50%)		167 (50%)		334 (100%)	
Occupation	Medical student	28	43.75%	36	56.25%	64 (100%)	0.185
	Physician	9	34.6%	17	65.4%	26 (100%)	
	Dentist	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13 (100%)	
	Pharmacist	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	19 (100%)	
	Nurse	66	52%	61	48%	127 (100%)	
	Analysist	24	52.2%	22	47.8%	46 (100%)	
	Other	23	59%	16	41%	39 (100%)	
Total		167 (50%)		167 (50%)		334 (100%)	


3.4. Relationship between knowledge, attitude, and practice towards the COVID-19 vaccine:

Figure (1) compares knowledge categories of participants (poor, moderate, and excellent) with their attitudes toward Mpox (positive or negative). Among those with poor knowledge, 16 (4.8%) exhibited a positive attitude, while 15 (4.5%) had a negative attitude, accounting for a total of 9.3% of participants. Those with moderate knowledge formed the largest group, with 108 (32.3%) showing a positive attitude

and 116 (34.7%) a negative attitude, totaling 67%. Those with excellent knowledge represented 43 (12.9%) with positive attitudes and 36 (10.8%) with negative attitudes, making up 23.7% of the sample.

Overall, attitudes were evenly distributed between positive and negative categories (50.0% each) across the knowledge levels. The statistical analysis yielded a p-value of 0.626, indicating no significant association between vaccine knowledge and attitudes toward Mpox.

This suggests that the level of knowledge does not strongly influence whether participants hold a positive or negative attitude toward Mpox.



Results in table (6) showed the knowledge and attitude of participants compared with practice towards Mpox. When analyzing the association between participants' knowledge and attitudes regarding their expectations of Mpox becoming a pandemic. No statistically significant association observed among those who believed Mpox might cause a pandemic and those who did not expect Mpox to become a pandemic or were uncertain ($P = 0.23$). In contrast, attitude showed a significant relationship ($P < 0.001$). Participants with a positive attitude were more likely to believe Mpox could become a pandemic, with 77 individuals (23.1%), while

negative attitudes were more prevalent among those not expecting Mpox to become a pandemic, with 86 participants (25.7%).

Regarding the relationship between participants' knowledge and attitudes with their perceptions of Mpox's potential impact on life compared to COVID-19. Again, there was no statistically significant association between knowledge levels and this perception ($P = 0.9$). Attitudes, however, showed a significant association ($P < 0.001$). Participants with a positive attitude were more likely to perceive Mpox as having a significant impact, with 83 individuals (24.9%) holding this belief, compared to 86 (25.7%) with negative attitude among those who did not believe Mpox would affect life significantly.

Moreover, evaluating the association between participants' knowledge and attitudes with their perception of traveling to other countries as a risk amid the Mpox outbreak. This belief is more prevalent among participant with moderate or high level of knowledge 187 (56.0%) and 70 (21.0%), respectively. However, the association was statistically insignificant ($P = 0.38$). Conversely, the study found that participants' attitudes significantly influenced their perception of traveling as a risk during the Mpox outbreak. Among those perceiving travel as risky, 152 participants (45.5%) exhibited a positive attitude, compared to only 3 (0.9%) having a positive attitude among those who did not perceive travel as risky. Those uncertain about risks have fairly evenly distributed attitudes with 12 (3.6%) showing a positive attitude and 18 (5.4%) a negative one.

The analysis explores participants' perceptions about the potential increase in Mpox cases in Iraq, assessed in relation to their knowledge and attitudes. Although there were no statistically significant relationships between their level of knowledge and perception ($P=0.8$), a discrepancy was observed in perception regardless the level of knowledge, as their opinion conflicted between supporters, opponents 61 (18.3%), and neutral. Attitudes, however, demonstrated a significant association ($P < 0.001$). Among participants with a positive attitude, 90 (26.9%) expected an increase in cases, 28 (8.4%) did not expect an increase, and 49 (14.7%) were uncertain. In contrast, among participants with a negative attitude, 45 (13.5%) anticipated an increase, 66 (19.8%) did not, and 56 (16.8%) were uncertain.

When comparing participants' perceptions of whether Mpox will cause a more severe disease than smallpox with their knowledge and attitude, the results show significant patterns. Although, a high percentage of participants with a moderate or

excellent level of knowledge had an incorrect pessimistic opinion towards the severity of Mpox 98 (29.3%) and 42 (12.6%), respectively, the relationship failed to reach the statistical significant (P=0.15). Regarding attitudes, a significantly higher proportion of individuals with a positive attitude toward the severity of Mpox 100 (29.9%) believed it could be more severe than smallpox, while 20 (6.0%) disagreed, and 47 (14.1%) were unsure. Those with a negative attitude showed a more balanced distribution, with 58 (17.4%) believing Mpox would be more severe, 54 (16.2%) disagreeing, and 55 (16.5%) uncertain.

When examining whether participants believe that Mpox would affect daily activities, the data reveals notable differences in both knowledge and attitude. Regarding knowledge, among those who believed Mpox would affect daily activity, 21 participants (6.3%) had poor knowledge, 149 (44.6%) had moderate knowledge, and 57 (17.1%) had excellent knowledge. In contrast, those who disagreed with the statement showed 6 participants (1.8%) with poor knowledge, 31 (9.3%) with moderate knowledge, and 13 (3.9%) with excellent knowledge. Among those uncertain about the impact of Mpox on daily activity, 4 participants (1.2%) had poor knowledge, 44 (13.2%) had moderate knowledge, and 9 (2.7%) had excellent knowledge. Concerning attitudes, a larger proportion of participants with a positive attitude believed that Mpox would affect daily activities. Specifically, 136 participants (40.7%) supported this view, while 8 (2.4%) disagreed, and 23 (6.9%) were unsure. For those with a negative attitude, 91 participants (27.2%) believed Mpox would affect daily life, while 42 (12.6%) disagreed, and 34 (10.2%) were uncertain. These results underscore the influence of both knowledge and attitude on perceptions of Mpox's impact on daily activities.

When considering whether the smallpox vaccine is an effective way to control Mpox, there are notable trends in both knowledge and attitude. In terms of knowledge, 12 participants (3.6%) with poor knowledge believed the smallpox vaccine would be effective in controlling Mpox, while 11 (3.3%) disagreed, and 8 (2.4%) were uncertain. Among those with average knowledge, 115 participants (34.4%) believed in the vaccine's effectiveness, 42 (12.6%) disagreed, and 67 (20.1%) were unsure. Among those with excellent knowledge, 43 participants (12.9%) thought the smallpox vaccine would be effective, 16 (4.8%) disagreed, and 20 (6.0%) were unsure. Regarding attitude, 107 participants (32.0%) with a positive attitude believed the smallpox vaccine was an effective way to control Mpox, 20 (6.0%) disagreed, and

40 (12.0%) were uncertain. In contrast, among those with a negative attitude, 63 participants (18.9%) believed the vaccine would be effective, 49 (14.7%) disagreed, and 55 (16.5%) were unsure. These findings suggest that positive attitudes are strongly associated with a belief in the vaccine's effectiveness, while the level of knowledge has a less pronounced impact.

When evaluating whether the Mpox vaccine is considered unsafe, significant differences in both knowledge and attitude are observed. In terms of knowledge, among those with poor knowledge, 9 participants (2.7%) believed the Mpox vaccine is unsafe, 12 (3.6%) disagreed, and 10 (3.0%) were unsure. For those with average knowledge, 38 participants (11.4%) thought the vaccine was unsafe, 116 (34.7%) disagreed, and 70 (21.0%) were uncertain. Among those with excellent knowledge, 15 participants (4.5%) viewed the vaccine as unsafe, 38 (11.4%) disagreed, and 26 (7.8%) were unsure. Regarding attitude, 24 participants (7.2%) with a positive attitude considered the vaccine unsafe, while 101 (30.2%) disagreed, and 42 (12.6%) were uncertain. In contrast, 38 participants (11.4%) with a negative attitude considered the vaccine unsafe, 65 (19.5%) disagreed, and 64 (19.2%) were unsure. These results indicate that individuals with a positive attitude towards Mpox are less likely to consider the vaccine unsafe compared to those with a negative attitude. Knowledge also plays a role, though the differences are less striking.

Regarding the perception of whether the benefits of the Mpox vaccine outweigh its possible side effects, a clear distinction is seen between knowledge levels and attitudes. For those with poor knowledge, 13 participants (3.9%) agreed that the benefits outweigh the risks, 7 (2.1%) disagreed, and 11 (3.3%) were unsure. Among those with average knowledge, 98 participants (29.3%) felt the benefits outweigh the risks, 32 (9.6%) disagreed, and 94 (28.1%) were uncertain. Those with excellent knowledge had 44 participants (13.2%) who agreed with the statement, 9 (2.7%) disagreed, and 26 (7.8%) were unsure. In terms of attitude, 94 participants (28.1%) with a positive attitude believed the benefits outweigh the risks, 13 (3.9%) disagreed, and 60 (18.0%) were unsure. Conversely, 61 participants (18.3%) with a negative attitude agreed with the statement, 35 (10.5%) disagreed, and 71 (21.3%) were unsure. These results highlight that individuals with a positive attitude towards Mpox are more likely to perceive the benefits of the vaccine as outweighing the risks, and this trend is less prominent in those with a negative attitude. Knowledge, however, shows less variation in perception.

Table (6): Knowledge and attitude compared with practice of Mpox

Q1/ Do you expect Mpox will cause pandemic disease like COVID 19?						P Value
			Yes	No	Maybe	
Knowledge	Poor	N (%)	13 (3.9%)	9 (2.7%)	9 (2.7%)	0.23
	Moderate	N (%)	67 (20.1%)	90 (26.9%)	67 (20.1%)	
	Excellent	N (%)	32 (9.6%)	31(9.3%)	16 (4.8%)	
Attitude	Positive	N (%)	77 (23.1%)	44 (13.2%)	46 (13.8%)	<0.001
	Negative	N (%)	35 (10.5%)	86 (25.7%)	46 (13.8%)	
Q2/ Do you think that Mpox will affect life as COVED19?						P Value
			Yes	No	Maybe	
Knowledge	Poor	N (%)	11(3.3%)	12 (3.6%)	8 (2.4%)	0.9
	Moderate	N (%)	80 (24.0%)	91 (27.2%)	53 (15.9%)	
	Excellent	N (%)	33 (9.9%)	29 (8.7%)	17 (5.1%)	
Attitude	Positive	N (%)	83 (24.9%)	46 (13.8%)	38 (11.4%)	<0.001
	Negative	N (%)	41 (12.3%)	86 (25.7%)	40 (12.0%)	
Q3/Do you expect that travelling to other countries with the new Mpox outbreak is a risk?						P Value
			Yes	No	Maybe	
Knowledge	Poor	N (%)	25 (7.5%)	3 (0.9%)	3 (0.9%)	0.38
	Moderate	N (%)	187 (56.0%)	13 (3.9%)	24 (7.2%)	
	Excellent	N (%)	70 (21.0%)	6 (1.8%)	3 (0.9%)	
Attitude	Positive	N (%)	152 (45.5%)	3 (0.9%)	12 (3.6%)	0.001
	Negative	N (%)	130 (38.9%)	19 (5.7%)	18 (5.4%)	
Q4/Do you think Mpox cases will increase in Iraq?						P Value
			Yes	No	Maybe	
Knowledge	Poor	N (%)	14 (4.2%)	10 (3.0%)	7 (2.1%)	0.8
	Moderate	N (%)	90 (26.9%)	61 (18.3%)	73 (21.9%)	
	Excellent	N (%)	31 (9.3%)	23 (6.9%)	25 (7.5%)	
Attitude	Positive	N (%)	90 (26.9%)	28 (8.4%)	49 (14.7%)	<0.001
	Negative	N (%)	45 (13.5%)	66 (19.8%)	56 (16.8%)	
Q5/Do you think Mpox will cause a more sever disease compared to smallpox?						P Value
			Yes	No	Maybe	
Knowledge	Poor	N (%)	18 (5.4%)	7 92.1%)	6 (1.8%)	0.15
	Moderate	N (%)	98 (29.3%)	56 (16.8%)	70 (21.0%)	
	Excellent	N (%)	42 (12.6%)	11 (3.3%)	26 (7.8%)	
Attitude	Positive	N (%)	100 (29.9%)	20 (6.0%)	47 (14.1%)	<0.001
	Negative	N (%)	58 (17.4%)	54 (16.2%)	55 (16.5%)	
Q6/Do you think Mpox affect daily activity?						P Value
			Yes	No	Maybe	
Knowledge	Poor	N (%)	21(6.3%)	6 (1.8%)	4 (1.2%)	0.4
	Moderate	N (%)	149 (44.6%)	31 (9.3%)	44 (13.2%)	
	Excellent	N (%)	57 (17.1%)	13 (3.9%)	9 (2.7%)	
Attitude	Positive	N (%)	136 (40.7%)	8 (2.4%)	23 (6.9%)	<0.001
	Negative	N (%)	91 (27.2%)	42 (12.6%)	34 (10.2%)	
Q7/Do you think smallpox vaccine is an effective way to control Mpox ?						P Value
			Yes	No	Maybe	
Knowledge	Poor	N (%)	12 (3.6%)	11 (3.3%)	8 (2.4%)	0.25
	Moderate	N (%)	115 (34.4%)	42 (12.6%)	67 (20.1%)	
	Excellent	N (%)	43 (12.9%)	16 (4.8%)	20 (6.0%)	
Attitude	Positive	N (%)	107 (32.0%)	20 (6.0%)	40 (12.0%)	<0.001

	Negative	N (%)	63 (18.9%)	49 (14.7%)	55 (16.5%)	
Q8/Do you think Mpox vaccine is unsafe?						P Value
			Yes	No	Maybe	
Knowledge	Poor	N (%)	9 (2.7%)	12 (3.6%)	10 (3.0%)	0.53
	Moderate	N (%)	38 (11.4%)	116 (34.7%)	70 (21.0%)	
	Excellent	N (%)	15 (4.5%)	38 (11.4%)	26 (7.8%)	
Attitude	Positive	N (%)	24 (7.2%)	101 (30.2%)	42 (12.6%)	<0.001
	Negative	N (%)	38 (11.4%)	65 (19.5%)	64 (19.2%)	
Q9/Do you think benefits of Mpox vaccine outweigh possible side effect?						P Value
			Yes	No	Maybe	
Knowledge	Poor	N (%)	13 (3.9%)	7 (2.1%)	11 (3.3%)	0.26
	Moderate	N (%)	98 (29.3%)	32 (9.6%)	94 (28.1%)	
	Excellent	N (%)	44 (13.2%)	9 (2.7%)	26 (7.8%)	
Attitude	Positive	N (%)	94 (28.1%)	13 (3.9%)	60 (18.0%)	<0.001
	Negative	N (%)	61 (18.3%)	35 (10.5%)	71 (21.3%)	

4. Discussion:

The present study assessed the knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of HCWs and medical students towards Mpox. Regarding Mpox awareness, the results of the study indicate that there is a disparity in the level of knowledge among the participants, as it was found that the majority (67%) of HCWs and medical students have marginally satisfactory level of knowledge, while about quarter (23.7%) of them demonstrated an excellent level of knowledge about Mpox. However, (9.3%) of the participants suffer from a lack of basic information about its prevalence, transmission methods, and methods of prevention. These gaps may be due to the lack of updated educational resources or the lack of awareness campaigns directed at healthcare workers.

These findings are consistent with similar studies conducted in other regions, where moderate level of knowledge were observed among healthcare workers (Temsah et al., 2022; AlBalas et al., 2024; Amer, et al., 2024). However, a multi-country cross-sectional study among healthcare personnel in Arabic regions showed a low proportion of the participants had a good level of knowledge towards Mpox (Swed et al., 2022). Iraqi study conducted in Kurdistan region revealed that HCWs exhibit a relatively low level of knowledge and attitude towards Mpox (Ahmed et al., 2023). Comparing assessment of knowledge of HCWs and medical students across studies has led to inconsistencies in the analysis of results due to differences in many factors such as the timing of the survey, sample size, and sociodemographic

characteristics of the participants, including age, years of experience, type of healthcare workers, specialization, and educational stages of medical students.

There was a relationship between knowledge level and some demographic factors, such as age, education level, and professional experience. For example, all older participants or those with more experience in the health field showed an acceptable knowledge level towards Mpox. This suggests that practical experience plays a major role in enhancing knowledge about infectious diseases, which is supported by previous studies in epidemiology (Youssef et al., 2023; AlBalas et al., 2024).

Moreover, the study identifies disparities in awareness levels of Mpox across individuals with different educational backgrounds. The results showed that individuals with a postgraduate degree tend to possess greater knowledge of Mpox. The reasons behinds this gap in knowledge is that while transferring to the clinical phase of medical school, the typical undergraduate medical curriculum frequently ignores fundamental scientific information. Another reason could be that no recommendations have been established that distinguish between the classical vs. the new variant of Mpox. This contradicts the conclusions drawn by AlBalas et al (2024), while became consistent with results of other studies (Youssef et al., 2023; Malaeb et al., 2023).

The overall attitude of HCWs and medical students who participated can be regarded as sensible. The results showed that while most participants recognized the seriousness of the disease, their perceptions of its rapid spread and potential to become a global pandemic varied. Some participants expressed unrealistic fears, fueled by conspiracy theories about the new viral infection, which emerged as a result of its continued spread in many countries around the world. This highlights the need for accurate scientific awareness to curb unjustified panic. The main concern expressed by the majority of respondents is that Mpox imposes an additional burden on Iraq's healthcare system. This aligns with the results of earlier research (Sahin et al., 2023; Amer et al., 2024). The results also highlighted participants' doubts about infection control in Iraqi society due to lack of IPC measures and poor hygienic practice, consistent with opinion of Egyptian HCWs obtained by similar study

conducted by Amer et al. (2024). This negative view may be due to the weakness of the health sector which both countries suffer from.

Furthermore, the majority of participants (71.3%) believed in the effectiveness of vaccination to prevent the spread of Mpox, which is consistent with findings from Amer et al., 2024, which showed that 71% of Egyptian medical students and healthcare workers thought that vaccination was effective. This percentage is somewhat lower than that of Alshahrani et al. (2022), who discovered that the Saudi Ministry of Health had a 78.6% confidence level in its capacity to control Mpox locally.

Regarding to the relationship between knowledge, attitudes and practices, our findings showed that the positive attitude towards Mpox accompanied a high level of knowledge, and associated to willingness to be vaccinated and take preventive measures. This suggests that changing attitudes may be more effective than simply increasing knowledge in promoting prevention.

5. Conclusion:

In conclusion, the KAP about Mpox of Iraqi HCWs and medical students is suboptimal, underscoring the significance of appropriate information campaigns and educational initiatives. Medical curricula should be updated to include essential facts about the causative agent and the disease, in addition to adding more units of virology and infectious disease. Furthermore, considering that Iraq's healthcare system serves as a model for other developing nations with limited resources, the study's conclusions offer insightful information about the system's advantages and disadvantages that should be carefully considered.

References:

1. Abed Alah M, Abdeen S, Tayar E, Bougmiza I. The story behind the first few cases of monkeypox infection in non endemic countries, 2022. J Infect Public Health 2022;15:970-4.
2. Ahmed, S.K.; Abdulqadir, S.O.; Omar, R.M.; Hussein, S.; Qurbani, K.; Mohamed, M.G.; Abubaker Blbas, H.T.; Fahrni, M.L.; Lazzarino, A.I. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Willingness of Healthcare Workers in Iraq's Kurdistan Region to Vaccinate against Human Monkeypox: A Nationwide Cross-Sectional Study. Vaccines 2023, 11, 1734. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines11121734
3. Akinyinka M. R., Bakare O. Q., Oluwole E. O., Odugbemi B. A. (2019). Hand hygiene practices in the context of Ebola virus disease: A cross-sectional survey of Lagos residents. *Journal of Infection Prevention*, 20(4), 179–184. https://doi.org/10.1177/1757177419830779
4. Alakunle, E., Moens, U., Nchinda, G., Okeke, M. I. (2020). Monkeypox virus in Nigeria: infection biology, epidemiology, and evolution. *Viruses* 12, 1–29. doi: 10.3390/v12111257
5. AlBalas, S., AlMaqableh, H. O., Al Smadi, A. N., Omari, D. A., Odeibat, A. M., & AlBalas, M. (2024). Assessing Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP) towards Monkeypox among Healthcare Workers in JORDAN: A Cross-Sectional Survey. *Jordan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, *17*(2), 254–266. https://doi.org/10.35516/jjps.v17i2.1913
6. Alshahrani, N. Z., Algethami, M. R., Alarifi, A. M., Alzahrani, F., Alshehri, E. A., Alshehri, A. M., ... & Rodriguez-Morales, A. J. Knowledge and Attitude Regarding Monkeypox Virus among Physicians in Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study. Vaccines. 2022; 10(12):2099.
7. Amer FA, Nofal HA, Gebriel MG, Bedawy AM, Allam AA, Khalil HES, Elahmady M, Nofal H, Saeed MA, Shaltout SW, Behiry A, Attia O, Bahgat SM, Ali AA, Ahmed FM, Abdelrahman AM and Hammad NM (2024) Grasping knowledge, attitude, and perception towards monkeypox among healthcare workers and medical students: an Egyptian cross-sectional study. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 14:1339352. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2024.1339352
8. Amer, F. A., Hammad, N. M., Wegdan, A. A., Elbadawy, N. E., Pagliano, P., and Rodrıguez-Morales, A. J. (2022). Growing shreds of evidence for

monkeypox to be a sexually transmitted infection. Le Infezioni Medicina 30, 323. doi: 10.53854/liim-3003-1

9. Beer EM , Rao VB . A systematic review of the epidemiology of human Monkeypox outbreaks and implications for outbreak strategy. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2019;13:e0007791. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007791
10. Chauhan RP, Fogel R, Limson J. Overview of diagnostic methods, disease prevalence and transmission of mpox (Formerly Monkeypox) in humans and animal reservoirs. *Microorganisms*. 2023;11(5):1186. doi:10.3390/microorganisms11051186
11. Happi, C., Adetifa, I., Mbala, P., Njouom, R., Nakoune, E., Happi, A., et al. (2022). Urgent need for a non-discriminatory and non-stigmatizing nomenclature for monkeypox virus. PLoS Biol. 20, 1–6. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001769
12. Jokar M, Rahmanian V. Potential use of Google Search Trend analysis for risk communication during the mpox (formerly monkeypox) out- break in Iran. Health Sci Rep. 2023;6(1):e1081.
13. Karagoz A, Tombuloglu H, Alsaeed M, et al. Monkeypox (mpox) virus: classification, origin, transmission, genome organization, antiviral drugs, and molecular diagnosis. *J Infec Public Health*. 2023;16:531–541. doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2023.02.003
14. Malaeb, D., Sallam, M., Salim, N. A., Dabbous, M., Younes, S., Nasrallah, Y., Iskandar, K., Matta, M., Obeid, S., Hallit, S., and Hallit, R. Knowledge, Attitude and Conspiracy Beliefs of Healthcare Workers in Lebanon towards Monkeypox. Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease. 2023; 8(2):1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed8020081
15. Mansoor H., Abbas S., Rehan S. T., Hasan M. M. (2022). Monkeypox virus: A future scourge to the Pakistani Healthcare system. Annals of Medicine and Surgery, 79, Article 103978. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.103978 - PMC - PubMed
16. Parker S, Buller RM. A review of experimental and natural infections of animals with monkeypox virus between 1958 and 2012. Future Virol 2013;8:129-57.
17. Petersen, E., Kantele, A., Koopmans, M., Asogun, D., Yinka-Ogunleye, A., Ihekweazu, C., and Zumla, A. Human Monkeypox: Epidemiologic and

Clinical Characteristics, Diagnosis, and Prevention. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2019; 33(4):1027-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2019.03.001.

18. Rimoin AW , Mulembakani PM , Johnston SC , et al . Major increase in human Monkeypox incidence 30 years after smallpox vaccination campaigns cease in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010;107:16262–7. doi:10.1073/pnas.1005769107
19. Sah, R, Padhi, BK, Siddiq, A, Abdelaal, A, Reda, A, Ismail Lashin, B, et al.. Public health emergency of international concern declared by the world health organization for monkeypox. *Global Secur Health Sci Pol* 2022;7:51–6. https://doi.org/10.1080/23779497.2022.2124185.Search in Google Scholar
20. Sahin, T. K., Erul, E., Aksun, M. S., Sonmezer, M. C., Unal, S., and Akova, M. (2023). Knowledge and attitudes of Turkish physicians towards human monkeypox disease and related vaccination: A cross-sectional study. Vaccines 11, 19. doi: 10.3390/ vaccines11010019
21. Sale, T. A., Melski, J. W., and Stratman, E. J. (2006). Monkeypox: An epidemiologic and clinical comparison of African and US disease. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 55, 478–481 .doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2006.05.061
22. Samir AlBalas, Hindya O. AlMaqableh, Audai Naji Al Smadi, Dana A. Omari, Aram M. Odeibat, Mustafa AlBalas. Assessing Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP) towards Monkeypox among Healthcare Workers in JORDAN: A Cross-Sectional Survey. Jordan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2024, Volume 17, No. 2,
23. Swed, S., Bohsas, H., Patwary, M. M., Alibrahim, H., Rakab, A., Nashwan, A. J., et al. (2023b). Knowledge of mpox and its determinants among the healthcare personnel in Arabic regions: A multi-country cross-sectional study. *New Microbes New Infections* 54, 101146. doi: 10.1016/j.nmni.2023.101146
24. Temsah, M. H., Aljamaan, F., Alenezi, S., Abouammoh, N., Alhasan, K., Dasuqi, S. A., Alhaboob, A., Hamad, M. A., Halwani, R., Alrabiaah, A., Alsubaie, S., Alshahrani, F. S., AlZamil, F., Memish, Z. A., Barry, M., & Al-Tawfiq, J. A. (2022). Monkeypox Disease (MPOX) Perceptions among Healthcare Workers versus General Population during the First Month of the WHO Alert: Cross-Sectional Survey in Saudi Arabia. *Vaccines*, *10*(12), 2071. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10122071

25. Thornhill, J.P., Barkati, S., Walmsley, S., Rockstroh, J., Antinori, A., Harrison, L.B., Palich, R., Nori, A., Reeves, I., Habibi, M.S., Apea, V., Boesecke, C., Vandekerckhove, L., Yakubovsky, M., Sendagorta, E., Blanco, J.L., Florence, E., Moschese, E. D., Maltez, F.M., Goorhuis, A., Pourcher, V., Migaud, P., Noe, S., Pintado, C., Maggi, F., Hansen, A.-B.E., Hoffmann, C., Lezama, J.I., Mussini, C., Cattelan, A.M., Makofane, K., Tan, D., Nozza, S., Nemeth, J., Klein, M.B. and Orkin C.M. Monkeypox Virus infection in humans across 16 countries. *New. Eng. J. Med.* **387**, 8. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2207323 (2022).
26. Vaughan A, Aarons E, Astbury J, Brooks T, Chand M, Flegg P, et al. Human-to-human transmission of monkeypox virus, United Kingdom, 2018. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020 Apr [date cited]. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2604.191164Brown,K.,andLeggat,P.
27. Wahed T., Kaukab S. S., Saha N. C., Khan I. A., Khanam F., Chowdhury F., Saha A., Khan A. I., Siddik A. U., Cravioto A., Qadri F., Uddin J. (2013). Knowledge of, attitudes toward, and preventive practices relating to cholera and oral cholera vaccine among urban high-risk groups: Findings of a cross-sectional study in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *BMC Public Health*, 13, 242. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471%E2%80%932458-13-242
28. World Health Organization . (2023). 2022–2023 Mpox (monkeypoNOTEREF _Ref138070920 \f [1]x) outbreak: global trends. (Accessed March 03, 2023) Available at: https://worldhealthorg.shinyapps.io/mpx_global/
29. World Health Organization. Disease Outbreak News; Multi-country monkeypox outbreak in non-endemic countries: Update; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland. (2022). https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/ 2022-DON396 . Accessed 20 Apr 2024.
30. Xiang, Y., & White, A. (2022). Monkeypox virus emerges from the shadow of its more infamous cousin: Family biology matters. Emerging Microbes & Infections, 11(1), 1768–1777. https:// doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2022.2095309
31. Youssef, D., Abboud, E., Kawtharani, M., Zheim, Z., Abou Arrage, N., and Youssef, J. When a neglected tropical zoonotic disease emerges in non-endemic countries: Need to proactively fill the unveiled knowledge gaps towards human monkeypox

among the Lebanese population. Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice. 2023; 16(1):1-20.